



UNIVERSITY OF
TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials

Volume II

Brenda Cossman and Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2009-2010

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*

Storage

KE
538.5
.C672
2009
v.2
c.1

BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY

OCT - 6 2009

DEPT OF LAW

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO



UNIVERSITY OF
TORONTO
FACULTY OF LAW

FAMILY LAW

Cases and Materials


Volume II

Brenda Cossman and Carol Rogerson
Faculty of Law
University of Toronto

2009-2010

* * *

*These materials are distributed solely for classroom use
by students in the Faculty of Law, University of Toronto*



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

FAMILY LAW
Table of Contents
Volume II

Page No.

IV. THE ECONOMICS OF MARRIAGE BREAKDOWN

A. The Economics of Marriage

Statistics Canada, <i>Women in Canada 2006</i> , chaps. 5 &6	310
“Having Kids Costly for Educated Moms”, <i>Globe and Mail</i> , April 8, 2009.....	315
Robert Leckey, “Families in the Eyes of the Law: Contemporary Challenges and the Grip of the Past” IRPP, <i>Choices</i> , vol 15(8), July 2009 at 6-7	317

B. The Economic consequences of Divorce

Note: the Economic Consequences of Divorce	318
Robert Leckey, “Families in the Eyes of the Law: Contemporary Challenges and the Grip of the Past” IRPP, <i>Choices</i> , vol 15(8), July 2009 at 7-9, 30	319
Vanier Institute of the Family, <i>The Current State of Family Finances 2008 Report</i> , Appendices A, B, and C	322

V. MATRIMONIAL PROPERTY

A. Historical Overview

(a) Common Law

OLRC, <i>Report on Family Law, Part IV: Family Property</i>	325
---	-----

(b) Trust Doctrine

LRCC, <i>Family Property</i>	327
Note: <i>Murdoch v. Murdoch</i> and <i>Rathwell v. Rathwell</i>	328
<i>Pettikus v. Becker</i>	332
<i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Woman’s Suicide Ends Fight for Rights”	338
<i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Ontario Fee System Cited in Woman’s Legal Woe”.....	339
<i>Globe and Mail</i> , “Payout ends tragic story of farm wife’s legal battle”	340
Note: <i>Sorochan v. Sorochan</i>	341
<i>Peter v. Beblow</i>	342
Note: Constructive Trust Post <i>Peter v. Beblow</i>	357
<i>Harrison v. Kalinocha</i>	358
<i>Crick v. Ludwig</i>	360
<i>Nowell v. Town Estate</i> (Gen. Div.).....	365
<i>Nowell v. Town Estate</i> (Ont. C.A..)	370
Note: <i>Dorflinger v. Melanson</i> , <i>Mariano v. Machisi</i> , <i>Hatfield v. Shubrook</i>	371
<i>Thomas v. Fenton</i>	372
Note: <i>Bell v. Bailey</i>	376
<i>Wylie v. Leclair</i>	378
<i>Thomas v. Homeniuk</i>	380

(c) Statutory Reform

Holland, “Reform of Matrimonial Property Law in Ontario”	387
Wolfson, <i>The New Family Law Act</i>	388
Note: 2009 Amendments to the FLA	391

B. The Family Law Act, 1986**(a) The Partnership Principle**

Knetsch, “Some Economic Implications of Matrimonial Property Rules”	392
Prager, “Shifting Perspectives on Marital Property Law”	398
Eekelaar, <i>Family Law and Social Policy</i> , “Adjustment on Divorce”	402
Fineman, “Implementing Equality”	404
Note: Application of the FLA to Unmarried Couples	407
Note: Application of Matrimonial Property Law to Aboriginal Women	408

(b) Overview of the Family Law Act

Ontario Law Reform Commission, <i>Report on Family Property</i> (1993).....	410
Law Reform Commission of British Columbia, <i>Working Paper on Property Rights on Marriage Breakdown</i> (1989)	413

(c) Applying the FLA: Calculating the Equalization Payment

Goodman, “Matrimonial Property”, <i>Family Law Bar Admission Course Materials</i>	417
<i>Skrlj v. Skrlj</i>	429
Hovius, Sample Problems	433

(d) Valuation and Valuation Date Assets**(i) Valuation Date**

Note	434
------------	-----

(ii) Determining Ownership of Assets

Fredricks, “The Ownership Conundrum”	436
Wolfson and Corbin, “Problems of Title and and Constructive Trusts”	437

(iii) Valuation

Hovius and Youdan, <i>The Law of Family Property</i> , pp. 291-299.....	439
<i>Black v. Black</i>	442
Goodman, “Matrimonial Property”, <i>Family Law Bar Admission Course Materials</i>	443

(e) Deductions and Exclusions

Hovius and Youdan, <i>The Law of Family Property</i> , “Negative Net Family Property” ...	446
<i>Folga v. Folga</i>	449
Note: <i>Nahatchewitz v. Nahatchewitz</i>	450
<i>Black v. Black</i>	451
Note: Proposed Amendments to the <i>Family Law Act</i>	416

(f) The Definition of Property: Income or Property

<i>Caratun v. Caratun</i>	455
<i>Lowe v. Lowe</i>	425

(g) Continued Relevance of Constructive Trust

Note	466
<i>Kelly v. Kelly</i>	466
<i>Rawluk v. Rawluk</i>	467
Note: Reverse Constructive Trust.....	479
Note: OLRC 1993 Recommendations	480

(h) Departures from Equal Sharing**(i) Short Marriage**

Berend Hovius, “Unequal Sharing of Net Family Properties under Ontario’s <i>Family Law Act</i> ” (2009) 27 <i>C.F.L.Q.</i> 147 at 177-182	481
<i>Futia v. Futia</i>	483
<i>Pope v. Pope</i>	485

(ii) Debts and Depletion of Assets (and Extent of Court’s Power under s. 5(6))

Berend Hovius, “Unequal Sharing of Net Family Properties under Ontario’s <i>Family Law Act</i> ” (2009) 27 <i>C.F.L.Q.</i> 147 at 170-177	481
<i>Scott v. Scott</i>	493
Notes	495
Berend Hovius, “Unequal Sharing of Net Family Properties under Ontario’s <i>Family Law Act</i> ” (2009) 27 <i>C.F.L.Q.</i> 147 at 155-157	497
<i>Von Czeslik v. Ayuso</i> , 2007 ONCA 305, 36 R.F.L. (6 th) 231 (Ont. C.A.)	499
Berend Hovius, “Unequal Sharing of Net Family Properties under Ontario’s <i>Family Law Act</i> ” (2009) 27 <i>C.F.L.Q.</i> 147 at 157-160	497

(iii) Unequal Contributions

<i>LeBlanc v. LeBlanc</i>	507
<i>Toronto Star</i> , “A New Day in a Hard Life”	510
<i>Berdette v. Berdette</i>	512
Note: <i>Peake v. Peake</i> and <i>McInnis v. McInnis</i>	517
Note: Tort Awards for Spousal Misconduct	518

(iv) Post-Separation Conduct and Anticipated Future Needs

Note: <i>Merklinger v. Merklinger</i> , <i>Tamitegama v. Tamitegama</i>	520
Berend Hovius, “Unequal Sharing of Net Family Properties under Ontario’s <i>Family Law Act</i> ” (2009) 27 <i>C.F.L.Q.</i> 147 at 190-192	497
Note: B.C. Family Relations Act: Reapportionment on the Basis of Post-Separation Need	521

(v) Post-Separation Changes in the Value of Property

<i>Serra v. Serra</i> , 2009 ONCA 105, 61 R.F.L. (6 th) 1 (Ont. C.A.)	523
Notes and Questions	537
OLRC, Report on Family Property (1993)	538

VI. THE MATRIMONIAL HOME**A. Introduction**

Hovius, “The Matrimonial Home”	539
--------------------------------------	-----

B. Overview of Part II of the Family Law Act, 1986

Wolfson and Corbin, “The Matrimonial Home Under the FLA”	540
Note: <i>Debora v. Debora</i> (2006), 33 R.F.L. (6 th) 232 (Ont. C.A.)	544
<i>MacFarland v. MacFarland</i> , 2009 CarswellOnt 2949 (Ont. S.C.J.)	544

C. Orders for Exclusive Possession

Bissett-Johnson and Holland, <i>Matrimonial Property Law in Canada</i>	550
<i>Pifer v. Pifer</i>	551

<i>Hill v. Hill</i>	552
Note: Exclusive Possession and Issues of Violence	555
Note: Restraining Orders	557

D. Groups Precluded from Protection

Note	558
<i>Gathering Strength: Report of the Royal Commission on Aboriginal Peoples</i>	559